

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,679	10/08/2004	Mitsuhiro Kasahara	P26091	4013
7055 7590 11/28/2007 GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, TRANG U	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/509,679	KASAHARA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Trang U. Tran	2622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMM 6(a). In no event, however, m ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	UNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2007.					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	,				
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 15-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4,6-14 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objecte drawing(s) be held in ab don is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ser No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/2005;01/10/2005.	Pape 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application ::			

Application/Control Number: 10/509,679 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Species I, claims 1-4, 6-14 and 19-20 in the reply filed on October 29, 2007 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 5 and 15-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claims, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 29,
 2007.

Drawings

3. Figures 14-18 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory

Art Unit: 2622

obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1 and 19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 15 of copending Application No. 10/509,677. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1 and 19 of this application are broader and encompass the claims 1 and 15 of copending Application No. 10/509,677.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

7. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipate by the admitted prior art (Figs. 14-18, pages 1-17 of the Specification).

In considering claim 1, the admitted prior art (Figs. 14-18, pages 1-17 of the Specification) discloses all the claimed subject matter, note 1) the claimed a progressive signal generation circuit that generates a progressive signal by operation based on said inputted interlaced video signal is met by the one-field delay circuits J1 and J2 (Fig. 15, page 2, lines 16-25), 2) the claimed a motion calculation circuit that calculates a motion amount in the vertical direction of a picture on the basis of said progressive signal generated by said progressive signal generation circuit is met by is met by the motion detection circuit J3 which detects the motion between frames (progressive signal) (Fig. 15, page 3, lines 1-9), 3) the claimed a still picture processing circuit that generates a still picture progressive signal by still picture processing from said inputted interlaced video signal is met by the inter-frame interpolation circuit J4 (Fig. 15, page 3, lines 10-18), 4) the claimed a moving picture processing circuit that generates a moving picture progressive signal by moving picture processing from said inputted interlaced video signal is met by the intra-field interpolation circuit J5 (Fig. 15, page 3, line 19 to page 4, line 2), and 5) the claimed an output circuit that outputs the still picture progressive signal outputted from said still picture processing circuit when the motion amount in the vertical direction calculated by said motion calculation circuit is smaller than a first value is met by the switching circuit J6 (Fig. 15, page 4, lines 3-13).

Claim 19 is rejected for the same reason as discussed in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,679 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2-4, 6-14 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The dependent claims 2 and 20 identifies the uniquely distinct features: "wherein said progressive signal generation circuit includes a first progressive circuit that generates a first progressive signal on the basis of a first combination of more than one of the plurality of interlaced video signals generated by said interlace generation circuit, and a second progressive circuit that generates a second progressive signal on the basis of a second combination, which differs from said first combination, of more than one of the plurality of interlaced video signals generated by said interlace generation circuit, and said motion calculation circuit calculates said motion amount of a picture on the basis of said first progressive signal generated by said first progressive generation circuit and said second progressive signal generated by said second progressive generation circuit". All references of the record, either singularly or in combination, fail to anticipate or render the above underlined limitations obvious.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kondo et al. (US Patent No. 7,116,372 B2) disclose method and apparatus for deinterlacing.

Art Unit: 2622

Lim et al. (US Patent No. 6,606,126 B1) disclose deinterlacing method for video signals based on motion-compensated interpolation.

Okuno et al. (US Patent No. 6,288,745 B1) disclose scanner line interpolation device.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trang U. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7358. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 21, 2007

Trang U. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2622